

Menonite DNA Project Y Chromosome Data Discussion

by Tim Janzen

tjanzen@comcast.net

The comments below apply to the currently available Y chromosome marker results pertaining to the Low German Menonite DNA project. Individual surnames are commented on only if there are results for a least one person from two or more unconnected lineages with that surname. It should be kept in mind that the data for many men who have been tested by the SMGF are incomplete and that as more complete haplotypes become available for these men the conclusions based on the currently available partial haplotypes could potentially change. The numbers referred to for people in the comments are their Grandma numbers as they currently appear in the Grandma database. The abbreviation “NPE” stands for “non-parental event”. A non-parental event is a situation where a boy does not inherit the Y chromosome of the male head of household he is raised with due to an adoption, an illegitimate birth, or a name change. A haplotype is a set of marker results for a given individual. The term recombinational loss of heterozygosity (RecLOH) is also used. See <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/RecLOH> for more information about this term. For a more complete glossary of terms used in genetic genealogy see http://www.isogg.org/wiki/Genetics_Glossary. Some haplotypes have been linked to specific regions or population groups by DNA researcher Ken Nordvedt. The haplotypes are generally associated with those regions prior to the time when surnames came into existence and the progenitor who had the surname in question may not have lived in the region that the haplotype is associated with.

1. Abrahams. There are at results back for 3 different unconnected Abrahams families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Abrahams progenitor. This haplotype has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
2. Adrian. The results are available for 4 different unconnected Adrian families. There are 2 different subgroups for this surname based on the results currently available. The haplotypes of the descendents of Franz Gerhard Adrian (b. ca 1830) #498921 and Peter Dietrich Adrian (b. ca 1781) #51956 are consistent with each other and form the first subgroup. The haplotypes of the descendents of Heinrich Jacob Adrian (b. 14 May 1779) #52690 and Jakob Adrian (1849-1919) #535968 are consistent with each other and form the second subgroup. This suggests that either there were two original Adrian progenitors, one for each subgroup, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in one of the two lineages. This haplotype of the first subgroup has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
3. Andres/Andreas. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Andres families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Andres progenitor.
4. Banman/Bahnman. There are at least partial results back for 3 different unconnected Banman families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Banman progenitor.

5. Bartel. The results are available for 4 male Bartels. The haplotypes of the descendents of Heinrich Bartel (1766-1828) #859670 and David Bartel (1800-1849) #11880 are consistent with each other and form the first subgroup. The haplotype of the descendent of Heinrich Bartel (1834-1886) #327924 is inconsistent with the haplotypes of the other groups and forms a second subgroup. The haplotype of the descendent of Leonard Heinrich Peter Bartel (b. 1897) #208248 is inconsistent with the haplotypes of the other groups and forms a third subgroup. This suggests that either there were three original Bartel progenitors, one for each lineage, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in one of the lineages.
6. Becker. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Becker families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Becker progenitor. Of interest is the fact that one of the Beckers does not have known Mennonite ancestry and descends from Frederic Becker who was born in 1824 in Pomerania.
7. Berg. There are at least partial results back for 5 different unconnected Berg families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Berg progenitor. Note that the Bergs have a different progenitor than the Bergens.
8. Bergen. There are at least partial results back for 6 different unconnected Bergen families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that the 6 different Bergen families all descend from the same Bergen progenitor. Note that the Bergens have a different progenitor than the Bergs.
9. Born. There are results back for 2 different unconnected Born families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Born progenitor.
10. Boschman. There are at least partial results back for 3 different unconnected Boschman families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Boschman progenitor.
11. Braun. There are at least partial results back for 11 different unconnected Braun families. The haplotypes of 9 different unconnected Braun families are consistent with each other, suggesting that the 9 different families all descend from the same Braun progenitor. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception that the haplotype of the descendent of Dirk Johan Braun (b. ca 1761) #197948 and the haplotype of the descendent of Heinrich Braun (1895-1954) #516390 is inconsistent with the haplotype of the other 9 Braun lineages that have been tested. This suggests that either there were three original Braun progenitors, one for Dirk Johan Braun's (b. ca 1761) #197948 lineage, one for Heinrich Braun's (1895-1954) #516390 lineage and one for the other Braun lineages, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in Dirk Johan Braun's (b. ca 1761) #197948 lineage and/or Heinrich Braun's (1895-1954) #516390 lineage. The haplotype of the descendent of Dirk Johan Braun is quite similar to the haplotype of the Hieberts, suggesting that there may have been a male Hiebert ancestor in the paternal lineage of the descendent of Dirk Johan Braun who was tested. There appears

to have been a recombinational loss of heterozygosity (recLOH) event at markers DYS 459 and DYS 464 in the descendent of Diedrich Braun (1766-1851) #196157 who has been tested since he has values of 8 and 8 for DYS 459 and 14, 14, 14, and 14 for DYS 464 whereas the other Brauns typically have values of 8 and 10 for DYS 459 and 11, 14, 14, and 16 for DYS 464. A descendent of Johann Gerhard Braun (1836-1911) #158115 has been tested and his results match those of a descendent of Gerhard Braun (1755-1801). Both of these people have a value of 13 for DYS 393 whereas all of the other Brauns tested for this marker have a value of 14 for DYS 393. This suggests that Johann Braun (1836-1911) #158115 was likely a descendent of Nicholas Braun #431313. If so, then Johann Braun's (1836-1911) #158115 father Gerhard Braun was likely a son of Gerhard Braun (b. ca 1777) #196197.

12. Bueckert/Bickert. There are results back for 2 different unconnected Bueckert families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Bueckert progenitor.
13. Cornelsen. There are results back for 2 different unconnected Cornelsen families. The haplotypes of the descendents of Johann Kornelsen (b. ca 1744) #5520 are inconsistent with the haplotype of the descendent of Cornelius Cornelsen (1721-1776) #811848. This suggests that either there were two original Cornelsen progenitors, one for each lineage, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in one of the lineages.
14. Doerksen. There are at least partial results back for 6 different unconnected Doerksen families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception that the haplotype of a descendent of Kornelius Duerksen (1750-1840) #70539 is inconsistent with the haplotypes of the other 5 Doerksen and Dirks lineages that have been tested. This suggests that either there were two original Doerksen progenitors, one for the lineage of Kornelius Duerksen (1750-1840) #70539 and one for the other Doerksen lineages, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in Kornelius Duerksen's (1750-1840) #70539 lineage. Of interest is the fact that the haplotype of the descendent of Kornelius Duerksen (1750-1840) #70539 is a close match to haplotype of the descendent of Rudolph Peter Kerber (b. 24 May 1890) #392593 as well as a man from Poland who is a descendent of Johann Kerber (ca 1770-ca 1831). Also of interest is that the haplotype of the descendent of Kornelius Duerksen (1750-1840) #70539 is a close match to the descendent of Arend Peters (1783-1846) #159456 and the Isaacs who have been tested.
15. Driedger. There are results back for 2 different unconnected Driedger families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Driedger progenitor. However, it should be noted that the two haplotypes don't have very many markers making a valid comparison difficult.
16. Dyck (and Dueck). There are at least partial results back for 16 different unconnected Dyck and Dueck families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that the 16 different Dyck families all descend from the same Dyck progenitor. The descendents of Philip Dyck (b. ca 1733) #159444, Philip van Dijck (b. ca 1564) #12831, Peter Van Dycke (b. 1632) #65041, Peter Dyck (1749-1808) #266403, Jacob Dyck (b. 17 Mar 1828) #182126, and Johann Dyck (b. 17 Nov 1857) #24376 who have been tested all have a value of 14 at DYS 19 whereas the other Dycks who have been tested all have a

value of 15 at DYS 19. This would suggest that Philip Dyck (b. ca 1733) #159444, Peter Dyck (b. ca 1730) #705322 and Peter Dyck (1749-1808) #266403 were closely related to each other. Johann Dyck (b. 17 Nov 1857) #24376 may have been the descendent of one of these three men. The descendents of Heinrich Dyck (b. ca 1759) #44214, Jacob Dyck (b. ca 1754) #198078, and Johann Johann Dyck (b. 4 Oct 1837) #383899 who have been tested all have a value of 30 at DYS 389-2 whereas the other Dycks who have been tested most commonly have a value of 31 at DYS 389-2. This would suggest that Heinrich Dyck (b. ca 1759) #44214 and Jacob Dyck (b. ca 1754) #198078 were closely related to each other and Johann Johann Dyck (b. 4 Oct 1837) #383899 may have been a descendent of one of them.

17. Eitzen. The results are available for two male Eitzens. One is a descendent of Abraham Eidse (b. ca 1720) #63776. The other is a descendent of Nicholas Edse (d. 1776) #917045. The haplotype of the descendent of Nicholas Edse #917045 is inconsistent with the haplotype of descendent of Abraham Eidse #63776. This suggests that either there were two original Eitzen progenitors, one for each lineage, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in one of the two lineages. The haplotype of the descendent of Abraham Eidse (b. ca 1720) #63776 has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
18. Engbrecht. The descendent of Absolon Absolon Engbrecht (b. ca 1771) #102399 who has been tested has a haplotype that matches 40 of the 43 marker results for the Kroeker haplotype, suggesting that the two surnames originated in the same area of Europe within the past 500 to 1000 years. This haplotype has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
19. Enns. There are at least partial results back for 11 different unconnected Enns families. The haplotypes of the descendents of Dietrich Ens (1750-1825) #118136, Cornelius Enns (1788-1826) #50113, Abraham Enns (1787-1854) #265390, Isaak Enns (b. ca 1746) #196363, Isaak Enns (b. 1773) #265636, Johann Enns (b. 22 Aug 1853) #171191, Franz Enns (b. 19 Oct 1844) #185152, Gerhard Ens (ca 1755-1806) #199341, Abraham Entz (1742-1799) #7678, and Johann Klaas Enns (1741-1819) #44116 are consistent with each other, suggesting that they descend from the same Enns progenitor. The descendents of Dietrich Ens (1750-1825) #118136 and Cornelius Enns (1788-1826) #50113 who have been tested all have a value of 14 at DYS 389-1 whereas the other Ennses who have been tested have a value of 13 at DYS 389-1. This would suggest that Dietrich Ens (1750-1825) #118136 and Cornelius Enns (1788-1826) #50113 were closely related to each other. The haplotype of the descendent of Johann Enz (b. 17 Jan 1828) #405788 who has been tested is inconsistent with the haplotype of the other 10 Enns males who have been tested. This is not entirely surprising because Johann Enz #405788 is known to have been born illegitimately and he took the surname of his mother Maria Enz (1789-1832).
20. Epp. There are at least partial results back for 5 different unconnected Epp families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of the haplotype of the descendent of Peter Epp (b. 1862) #684212, which is inconsistent with the haplotypes of the other 4 Epp lineages that have been tested. This suggests that either there were two original Epp progenitors, one for Peter Epp (b. 1862) #684212 and one for the other Epp lineages, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in Peter Epp's (b. 1862) #684212 lineage. Note that the haplotype of the descendent of Peter Epp (b. 1862) #684212 is a close match to the Walls. The results for a grandson of Johann Epp

- #800426 are almost an exact match to the results for a descendent of Bernhard Epp (b. 1805) #946091. This suggests that Johann Epp #800426 was either a descendent of Bernhard Epp (b. 1805) #946091 or that they were at least distantly related.
21. Esau. There are at least partial results back for 7 different unconnected Esau families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of the haplotype of the Aron Jacob Esau (b. ca 1783) #44916, which is inconsistent with the haplotypes of the other 6 Esau lineages that have been tested. This suggests that either there were two original Esau progenitors, one for Aron Jacob Esau (b. ca 1783) #44916 and one for the other Esau lineages, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in Aron Jacob Esau's (b. ca 1783) #44916 lineage. Of interest is the fact the haplotype of the descendents of Johann Frank Martens (b. ca 1865) #758639 is an exact match to the haplotype of the descendent of Aron Jacob Esau (b. ca 1783) #44916 who has been tested.
 22. Fadenrecht. This haplotype has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
 23. Fast. There are at least partial results back for 7 different unconnected Fast families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Fast progenitor.
 24. Fehr. There are at least partial results back for many different descendents of Benjamin De Fehr (ca 1733-1822) #196504 as well as a grandson of Eduard De Veer (b. 23 Feb 1893) #1074024, who is a descendent of Jan De Veer (b. 11 Aug 1521) #196491 through his grandson Abraham De Veer (b. ca 1585) #12799. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families all descend from Jan De Veer (b. 11 Aug 1521) #196491. Benjamin De Fehr (ca 1733-1822) #196504 is a descendent of Gysbert De Veer (b. 7 Nov 1600) #12803, the brother of Abraham De Veer (b. ca 1585) #12799. These results are of interest since the haplotypes confirm that the descendents of Abraham De Veer (b. ca 1585) #12799 who stayed in the Netherlands are related to the descendents of Gysbert De Veer (b. 7 Nov 1600) #12803, who moved to Poland. This is the earliest connection to a common ancestor that has been confirmed by DNA testing in the Mennonite DNA project and the first Mennonite lineage that has been confirmed through DNA testing as having originated in the Netherlands. This haplotype has been linked to the Anglo-Saxons by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
 25. Flaming. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Flaming families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these Flaming families both descend from the same Flaming progenitor. There appears to have been a recombinational loss of heterozygosity (recLOH) event at marker DYS 464 in one of the descendents of Johann Flaming (b. ca 1756) #285004 who has been tested since his results for this marker are 17, 17, 19, and 19 whereas the results for his brother and the other Flaming who has been tested are 15, 15, 17, 19. This haplotype has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
 26. Friesen. There are at least partial results back for 31 different unconnected Friesen families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception that the haplotype of one descendent of Bernhard Friesen (b. ca 1752) #45114 and the haplotype of the descendent of Jacob Friesen (ca 1734-1776) #3748 are inconsistent with the haplotypes of the other 29 Friesen lineages that have been tested. It appears that there was a NPE in the lineage of one of the descendents of Bernhard Friesen (b. ca 1752) #45114. It is possible that there were two original Friesen progenitors, one for Jacob

Friesen (ca 1734-1776) #3748 and one for the other Friesen lineages. The more likely possibility is that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in the lineage of the Jacob Friesen (ca 1734-1776) #3748. The haplotype of a descendent of Martin Johann Friesen (b. 3 Jan 1858) #494115 is an exact match to the haplotype of the descendents of Isbrandt Johann Friesen (1765-1848) #196698, suggesting that Martin Johann Friesen (b. 3 Jan 1858) #494115 may have been a descendent of Isbrandt Johann Friesen (1765-1848) #196698. This haplotype has been linked to the Anglo-Saxons by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.

27. Froese. There are at least partial results back for 5 different unconnected Froese families. There are at least 2 different subgroups for this surname based on the results currently available. It appears that Cornelius Froese (d. 1834) #61794 and Cornelius Froese (1746-1794) #110605 descend from the same Froese progenitor. The haplotype of the descendents of Jacob Froese (b. 21 Jul 1863) #939205 may be consistent with the haplotypes of the descendents of Peter Abram Froese (1755-1806) #173745 and Abraham Abram Froese (b. 1754) #104047 who have been tested, but it is also possible that Jacob Froese's (b. 21 Jul 1863) #939205 lineage is unrelated to the lineages of Peter Abram Froese (1755-1806) #173745 and Abraham Abram Froese (b. 1754) #104047 and that Jacob Froese (b. 21 Jul 1863) #939205 descends from a third Froese progenitor or that there was a NPE somewhere in Jacob Froese's descendent's lineage.
28. Funk. There are at least partial results back for 5 different unconnected Funk families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception that the haplotypes of the descendents of Hans Funk (ca 1749-1795) #219693 are inconsistent with the haplotypes of the other 4 Funk lineages that have been tested. This suggests that either there were two original Funk progenitors, one for the lineage of Hans Funk (b. ca 1749-1795) #219693 and one for the other Funk lineages, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in Hans Funk's #219693 lineage. Note that the haplotypes of the descendents of Hans Funk (ca 1749-1795) #219693 are a reasonably close match to the haplotypes of the descendents of Heinrich Ediger (b. ca 1750) #30335.
29. Geddert. There are results back for 2 different unconnected Geddert families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Geddert progenitor.
30. Gerbrandt. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Gerbrandt families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Gerbrandt progenitor.
31. Giesbrecht. There are at least partial results back for 7 different unconnected Giesbrecht families. There are two different subgroups for this surname based on the results currently available. The haplotypes of the descendents of Wilhelm Giesbrecht (b. 1767) #266433, Gerhard Wilhelm Giesbrecht (b. ca 1747) #45209, and Wilhelm Jacob Giesbrecht (1865-1919) #363715 are consistent with each other and form the first subgroup. Wilhelm Jacob Giesbrecht (1865-1919) #363715 is thus likely to be a descendent of Wilhelm Giesbrecht (1701-1776) #266431 and/or Gerhard Wilhelm Giesbrecht (b. ca 1727) #45209. The haplotypes of the descendents of David Giesbrecht (1750-1802) #227280, Abraham Giesbrecht (ca 1739-1814) #158646 and Jacob

- Giesbrecht (b. ca 1708-1776) #330475 are consistent with each other and form a second subgroup.
32. Ginter/Guenther. There are at least partial results back for 3 different unconnected Ginter families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Ginter progenitor.
 33. Goertzen (Goertz). There are at least partial results back for 7 different unconnected Goertzen, Goerzen, or Goertz families. There are 4 different subgroups for this surname based on the results currently available. The haplotype of the descendents of David Goerzen (1859-1934) #946287 is clearly inconsistent with the results of the other groups and thus forms the first subgroup. Note that the haplotype of the descendent of David Goerzen (1859-1934) #946287 is a close match to the Hildebrands who have been tested. The haplotypes of the descendents of Abraham Goertzen (b. ca 31 Dec 1740) #285756, Stephan Goertz (ca 1748-1815) #353602 and Heinrich Goertz (b. 1830) #106364 are consistent with each other and they are part of a second subgroup. The haplotype of the descendent of Peter Gertz (ca 1787-1835) #52034 is inconsistent with the haplotypes of the other groups and forms a third subgroup. The haplotype of the descendents of Heinrich Goertz (ca 1739-1792) #312056 and Peter Peter Goertzen (b. 9 Feb 1859) #235593 are inconsistent with the haplotypes of the other groups and form a fourth subgroup.
 34. Goossen. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Goossen families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Goossen progenitor.
 35. Groening. The results are available for 2 male Groenings. One is a descendent of Johann Groening (1769-1825) #55129. The other is a descendent of Michael Groening (b. 1748) #714703. The haplotypes are inconsistent with each other. This suggests that either there were two original Groening progenitors, one for each lineage, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in one of the two lineages.
 36. Hamm. There are at least partial results back for 3 different unconnected Hamm families. There are 2 different subgroups for this surname based on the results currently available. The haplotype of the descendents of Jacob Peter Hamm (1860-ca 1912) #439515 is inconsistent with the results of the other group and thus forms the first subgroup. The haplotypes of the descendents of Jacob Hamm (1827-1895) #179688 and Peter Hamm (b. ca 1790) #187170 are consistent with each other and they are part of a second subgroup. This suggests that either there were two original Hamm progenitors, one for Jacob Peter Hamm (1860-ca 1912) #439515 and one for the other Hamm lineages, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in Jacob Peter Hamm's (1860-ca 1912) #439515 lineage.
 37. Harder. There are at least partial results back for 8 different unconnected Harder families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Harder progenitor. This haplotype has been linked to the Anglo-Saxons by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
 38. Harms. There are results back for 2 different unconnected Harms families. The haplotype of the descendent of Gerhard Harms (ca. 1720 - ca 1776) #49701 is

- inconsistent with the haplotype of the descendent of Johann Johann Harms (b. 1771) #4487. This suggests that either there were two original Harms progenitors, one for each lineage, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in one of the lineages.
39. Hein. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Hein families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Hein progenitor. Note that the Heins lineages have a different progenitor than the Heinrichs lineages.
 40. Heinrichs. There are at least partial results back for 3 different unconnected Heinrichs families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Heinrichs progenitor. Note that the Heinrichs lineages have a different progenitor than the Heins lineages.
 41. Hiebert/Huebert. There are at least partial results back for 16 different unconnected Hiebert families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of the results for 6 men. The haplotypes of two descendents of Jacob Hiebert (b. 22 Sep 1833) #185479 through his sons Peter Hiebert (b. 2 May 1881) #194276 and David Hiebert (b. 15 Jan 1883) #194277 and the haplotype of a descendent of Peter Hiebert (b. ca 1780) #144981 don't match the haplotypes of the other Hieberts. A descendent of Jacob Hiebert's #185479 purported brother Abraham Hiebert (b. 7 Aug 1823) #184697 has been tested and this descendent's haplotype matches those of the other Hieberts who have been tested. This suggests that a NPE has occurred in regard to Jacob Hiebert #185479. A comparison of Jacob Hiebert's descendents' haplotypes to other Mennonite haplotypes demonstrates that they are a close match to the modal Penner haplotype. This suggests that the father of Jacob Hiebert #185479 was really a Penner. It is not known whether Jacob Hiebert was born illegitimately to Helena Siemens (b. 1 May 1804) #186874 or whether he was adopted into Abraham Hiebert's (b. ca 1799) #186873 and Helena Siemens' family. The haplotype of a descendent of Kornelius Johann Hiebert (b. ca 1758) #13216 through his son Martin Hiebert (b. ca 1787) #54066 doesn't match the haplotypes of three descendents of Kornelius Johann Hiebert (b. ca 1758) #13216 through his son Heinrich Hiebert (b. 3 Jun 1791) #132164. This would suggest that there has been a NPE at some point in the lineage of the descendent of Heinrich Hiebert (b. 3 Jun 1791) #132164. Either the lineage of Peter Hiebert (b. ca 1780) #144981 represents its own subgroup or there was a NPE that occurred at some point in his lineage. Likewise, the lineage of Jakob Huebert (b. 16 Oct 1861) #913068 represents its own subgroup or there was a NPE that occurred at some point in his lineage.
 42. Hildebrand. There are partial results back for 3 different unconnected Hildebrand families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Hildebrand progenitor.
 43. Isaac. There are partial results back for 3 different unconnected Isaac families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Isaac progenitor. Note that the haplotypes of the Isaacs are a reasonably close match to the haplotype of a descendent of Arend Peters (1783-1846) #159456.
 44. Janzen. There are at least partial results back for 21 different unconnected Janzen families. There are 7 different subgroups for this surname based on the results currently available. The haplotypes of the descendents of Jacob Franz Janzen (b. ca 1730)

#580914, Peter Peter Janzen (b. 13 Jul 1854) #507887, Julius Janzen (b. ca 1730) #199118, Abram Janzen (b. ca 1885) #953109, Peter Franz Janzen (1859-1920) #340831, Heinrich Peter Janzen (b. 1 Feb 1847) #265794, Franz Janzen (1820-1892) #182815, Cornelius Johann Janzen (1783-1860) #39043, Peter Julius Janzen #945629, Franz Janzen (b. 9 Nov 1808) #514291, Diedrich Gerhard Janzen (b. ca 1764) #61132, Peter Janzen (1817-1884) #467872, and Johann Janzen (b. ca 1736) #311369 are consistent with each other and form the first subgroup. This group of Janzens belonged to Frisian Mennonite churches in W. Prussia. Of interest is the fact that a close match to this group traces his ancestry back to the Brabant region in Belgium. Also of interest is the fact that the Suderman haplotype is a fairly close match to the haplotype of the first subgroup of Janzens, suggesting that they share a common progenitor before the time that surnames came into existence (ca 1500), probably in the Low Countries. Peter Julius Janzen #945629 is likely a descendent of Julius Janzen (b. ca 1730) #199118, probably through his grandson Julius Janzen (b. ca 1781) #199133. The haplotype of the descendent of Paul Janzen (1704-1765) #11942 forms a second subgroup. Paul Janzen #11942 was a member of the Neugarten Frisian Mennonite Church in W. Prussia. The haplotypes of the descendents of Peter Janzen (b. ca 1762) #69404 form a third subgroup. The haplotype of the descendents of David Janzen (b. 4 Oct 1872) #174079 forms a fourth subgroup. The haplotype of the descendent of Jakob Janzen (b. 23 Oct 1822) #20750 forms a fifth subgroup. The haplotype of the descendents of Heinrich Janzen (b. ca 23 Dec 1727) #174079 and Franz Janzen (b. Abt 1767) #229645 form a sixth subgroup. The haplotype of the descendent of Peter Jantz (b. 1650) #39121 and a descendent of Jacob Janzen (b. ca 1805) #1305195 form a seventh subgroup. Peter Jantz #39121 was a member of the Przechowka Mennonite Church in Prussia. It is possible that there were NPEs in the lineages of some of the subgroups that currently have only one representative.

45. Jost/Just. Results are available for descendents of Martin Just (1817-1877) #17082 and Martin Gerhard Jost (b. ca 1791) #21953. The haplotypes are inconsistent with each other. This suggests that either there were two original Jost/Just progenitors, one for each lineage or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in one of the two lineages. Martin Just (1817-1877) #17082 is known to have been of Lutheran ancestry and thus it seems highly probable that there was never any connection between the Martin Just's (1817-1877) #17082 paternal lineage and Martin Gerhard Jost's (b. ca 1791) paternal lineage.
46. Kehler. Results are available for two descendents of Michael Phillip Kehler (b. ca 1779) #197008, one who descends from Michael Kehler's son Jacob Kehler (1812-1897) and another who descends from Michael Kehler's son Gerhard Kehler (1825-1902). The haplotypes are inconsistent with each other. This suggests that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in one of the two lineages. Further testing of additional descendents of Michael Phillip Kehler (b. ca 1779) #197008 is needed to determine which lineage had the NPE.
47. Kerber. The haplotype of the descendent of Rudolph Peter Kerber (b. 24 May 1890) #392593 is a close match to that of a Mr. Kerber from Poland who is a descendent of Johann Kerber (ca 1770-ca 1831) who has tested at Family Tree DNA, but is not a member of the Mennonite DNA project.

48. Klassen. There are at least partial results back for 23 different unconnected Klassen families. There are 3 different subgroups for this surname based on the results currently available. The haplotypes of the descendents of Abraham Jacob Klaassen (1790-1851) #3062, Heinrich Klassen (1862-1933) #164637, Johann Aron Klaassen (b. 6 Jun 1777) #47683, Abraham Klaassen (1722-1788) #134053, Abram Klassen (b. ca 1835) #454229, Gerhard Jakob Klassen (b. ca 1769) #529329, Abraham Klassen (d. 1922) #667198, Herman Classen (b. 30 Apr 1904) #169070, Abraham Claassen (1717-1775) #374949, David Klassen (1739-1804) #102635, Hans Klaassen (1665-1731) #505609, Jakob Peter Klassen (1854-1932) #933632, Franz Julius Peter Klassen (b. ca 1759) #53126, Abraham Klassen (1828-1904) #749558, Peter Klassen (1889-1919) #505242, Jacob Klassen (1818-1876) #156688, and Wilhelm Klassen (1830-1913) #945774 are consistent with each other and form the first subgroup. The haplotypes of the descendents of Chonert Klassen (b. ca 1750) #811454, Jacob Jacob Klassen (b. 25 Oct 1856) #214860, and Peter Johann Klassen (1860-1910) #682842 form a second subgroup. Jacob Jacob Klassen (b. 25 Oct 1856) #214860 and Peter Klassen (1860-1910) #682842 were likely descendents of Chonert Klassen (b. ca 1750) #811454. The haplotype of the descendent of Peter Isaac Klassen (b. ca 1792) #44071 forms a third subgroup. It should be noted that the marker value for DYS 464b for the descendents of Abraham Jacob Klaassen (1790-1851) #3062, Peter Claassen (1785-1852) #932589, Peter Klassen (1889-1919) #505242, and Jacob Klassen (1818-1876) #156688 who were tested may not actually be 15 as is shown on the table of the results. These people were tested by Family Tree DNA, a company which doesn't report microvariants (short tandem repeat values that contain a partial repeat) for the markers it tests. The corresponding value for DYS 464b for multiple Klassens who were tested by the SMGF is 14.3, which is probably the true result for this marker for the above mentioned people who were tested by Family Tree DNA.
49. Kliewer. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Kliewer families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Kliewer progenitor.
50. Klippenstein. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Klippenstein families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Klippenstein progenitor. Johan Johann Klippenstein (b. 1865) #249515 was likely a descendent of Jacob Klippenstein (b. 1655) #195796.
51. Koehn. There are results back for 2 different unconnected Koehn families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Koehn progenitor.
52. Koop. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Koop families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Koop progenitor. Of interest is the fact that the haplotype of the Koops who have tested is a fairly close match to the haplotype of a descendent of Henry Cobbe (1238-1310) who was from England. This would suggest that the original Koop progenitor may have been from England.
53. Krahn. There are at least partial results back for 3 different unconnected Krahn families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families all descend from the same

Krahn progenitor. This haplotype has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.

54. Krause. There are results back for 3 different unconnected Krause families. The haplotypes of the descendents of Kornelius Krause (b. 18 Jan 1856) #225697 and Joseph Paul Krause (b. 18 Dec 1907) #802545 are consistent with each other and form the first subgroup. The haplotype of the descendent of Cornelius Krause (b. ca 1752) #9242 is inconsistent with the other Krause haplotypes and thus forms a second subgroup. This suggests that either there were two original Krause progenitors, one for each lineage, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in one of the lineages.
55. Kroeker. There are at least partial results back for 6 different unconnected Kroeker families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Kroeker progenitor. Of interest is the fact that the descendent of Absolon Absolon Engbrecht (b. ca 1771) #102399 who has been tested has a haplotype that matches 40 of the 43 marker results for the Kroeker haplotype, suggesting that the two surnames originated in the same area of Europe within the past 500 to 1000 years. Note that the Kroeker lineages have a different progenitor than the Krueger lineages.
56. Loewen. There are at least partial results back for 11 different unconnected Loewen families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Loewen progenitor. This haplotype has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
57. Martens. The results are available for 4 different unconnected Martens families. One line descends from Johann Frank Martens (b. ca 1865) #758639. Another line descends from Heinrich Johann Martens (b. ca 1787) #396792. A third line descends from Peter Martens (b. ca 1769) #486885. A fourth line descends from Johann Gerhard Martens (1760 - 1831) #9117. The haplotypes of 4 lineages are inconsistent with each other. This suggests that either there were 4 original Martens progenitors, one for each lineage, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in one or more of the 4 lineages. Of interest is the fact the haplotype of the descendents of Johann Frank Martens (b. ca 1865) #758639 is an exact match to the haplotype of the descendent of Aron Jacob Esau (b. ca 1783) #44916 who has been tested. The haplotype of the descendent of Johann Frank Martens (b. ca 1865) #758639 has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
58. Nachtigal. There are results back for 2 different unconnected Nachtigal families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Nachtigal progenitor.
59. Neufeld. There are at least partial results back for 16 different unconnected Neufeld families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Neufeld progenitor. The descendents of Abraham Neufeld (1736-1815) #100650, Jacob Johann Neufeld (b. 1824) #6514, Johan Neufeldt (d. ca 1794) #197028, and Dirk Johann Neufeld (1767-1833) #134086 who have been tested all have a value of 16 at DYS 458 and have a value of 12 at DYS 442 whereas the other Neufelds who have been tested have a value of 15 at DYS 458 and generally have a value of 12 at DYS 442. This would suggest that Abraham Neufeld (1736-1815) #100650, Jacob Johann Neufeld (b.

- 1824) #6514, Johan Neufeldt (d. ca 1794) #197028 and Dirk Johann Neufeld (1767-1833) #134086 were closely related to each other. This haplotype has been linked to the Anglo-Saxons by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
60. Nickel. There are at least partial results back for 3 different unconnected Nickel families. The haplotypes are potentially consistent with each other, but additional marker values are needed for these different Nickel descendants before it can be determined if these families all descend from the same Nickel progenitor.
 61. Olfert. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Olfert families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Olfert progenitor. Abram Aron Olfert (b. 13 Nov 1899) #816748 was likely a descendent of Abram Olfert (b. ca 1740) #46195.
 62. Pankratz. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Pankratz families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Pankratz progenitor.
 63. Pauls. There are results back for 2 different unconnected Pauls families. The haplotype of the descendent of Jacob Pauls (1824-1894) #645182 is inconsistent with the haplotype of the descendent of Peter Heinrich Pauls (b. 1 Mar 1787) #55433. This suggests that either there were two original Pauls progenitors, one for each lineage, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in one of the lineages.
 64. Pektau. There are at least partial results back for 4 different unconnected Petkau families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Petkau progenitor.
 65. Penner. There are at least partial results back for 51 different unconnected Penner families. The haplotypes of 46 different unconnected Penner families are consistent with each other, suggesting that the 46 different families all descend from the same Penner progenitor, a man who likely lived 400-600 years ago. The haplotypes of the descendants of Abraham Penner (1826-1907) #1072395, Julius Heinrich Penner (1846-1922) #30504, Abraham Abraham Penner (b. ca 1783) #62047, Jacob Jacob Wilhelm Penner (1854-1922) #500238, and Andreas Heinrich Penner (b. 1811) #12136 are all inconsistent with each other, as well as being inconsistent with the largest group of Penners. This suggests that either there was more than one original Penner progenitor, one each for the 5 above mentioned Penner lineages, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in one or more of these 5 lineages. Abraham Penner (1826-1907) #1072395 is known to have been born illegitimately and he took the surname of his mother Anna Penner #1122537, so it seems quite probable that his father was not a Penner. The haplotypes of the descendants of Abraham Penner (b. ca 1783) #62047 are a close match to the haplotype of a descendent of Peter Siemens (b. ca 1790) #58879, which would suggest that a NPE probably has occurred somewhere in the lineage of Abraham Penner #62047 and that men in this lineage who were tested actually descend from a male Siemens. The haplotype of the descendent of Julius Heinrich Penner (1846-1922) #30504 is a relatively close match to the haplotype of a descendent of George Buller (b. bef. 1660, d. aft 1702), with only 4 markers mismatching, would suggest that a NPE may have occurred somewhere in the lineage of Julius Heinrich Penner (1846-1922) #30504 and that the man in this lineage who was tested may actually descend from a male Buller. There is a discrepancy between the results from Family Tree DNA and the Sorenson Foundation for

one of the four values of the multi-copy marker DYS 464 for a descendent of Cornelius Jacob Penner (1852-1920) #163093. FTDNA gives a value of 14 and the SMGF gives a value of 17 for one of the copies of this marker. To denote this discrepancy this marker value is highlighted in light green in the accompanying spreadsheet and the value 14 has been entered until the discrepancy can be resolved through additional testing. Similarly, there is a discrepancy between the results from Family Tree DNA and the Sorenson Foundation for one of the four values of the multi-copy marker DYS 464 for a descendent of Johann Penner (1786-aft. 1852) #70103. FTDNA gives a value of 15 and the SMGF gives a value of 16 for one of the copies of this marker. To denote this discrepancy this marker value is highlighted in light green in the accompanying spreadsheet and the value 15 has been entered until the discrepancy can be resolved through additional testing. There are results available for at least 37 markers for most of the 46 different unconnected Penner families that have haplotypes that are consistent with each other. Not surprisingly, the markers that most frequently have mismatches among this group of Penners are DYS 458, CDY a, and CDY b. These are all known to be markers that generally mutate faster than the other markers. Attempting to group all of the Penners into family clusters based on similar haplotypes is somewhat tricky and must be done carefully due to the fact that the same mutation, particularly for the above three markers, may have occurred more than once in the lineages of these different Penner families relative to the original Penner progenitor's haplotype. The haplotypes of the descendents of Heinrich Penner (1753-1815) #57878, Abraham Penner (d. bef. 1890) #229032, and Edward Penner (1887-1982) #43515 are all very similar, each having a value of 19 for DYS 458, a value of 31 for DYS 449, and a value of 15 for DYS 456. This would suggest that Abraham Penner (d. bef. 1890) #229032 was likely a grandson of Heinrich Penner (1753-1815) #57878. This would not be surprising given that Abraham Penner #229032 is known to have been from Schoenhorst, Chortitza Colony and given that Heinrich Penner (1753-1815) #57878 also lived in Schoenhorst. Edward Penner (1887-1982) #43515 and Heinrich Penner (1753-1815) #57878 were likely descended from a Penner male who lived in the late 1600s or early 1700s in Prussia. Other conclusions about probable relationships will likely be possible once additional marker results are available and/or single nucleotide polymorphisms unique to specific Penner families are discovered.

66. Peters. There are at least partial results back for 13 different unconnected Peters families. There are at least 4 different subgroups for this surname based on the results currently available. The haplotypes of the descendents of Elias Peters (d. 1786) #176995 match and form the first subgroup. The haplotype of the descendent of Arend Peters (1783-1846) #159456 is potentially consistent with the haplotypes of the descendents of Elias Peters (d. 1786) #176995 and thus may also belong in the first subgroup. However, it is also possible that the lineage of Elias Peters (d. 1786) #176995 and the lineage of Arend Peters (1783-1846) #159456 share a common progenitor before the time that surnames came into existence (ca 1500). The haplotype of the descendent of Arend Peters (1783-1846) #159456 is a close match to the halotypes of the male Isaacs who have been tested. The haplotypes of the descendents of Jacob Peters (b. ca 1810) #188316, Gerhard Peters (1772-1848) #18759, Aron Peters (ca 1733-1784) #117398, Jacob Peters (b. 1755) #138255, Abram H. Peters (b. 1860) #1029654, and Abraham Jacob Peters (b. 1762) #70191 form a second subgroup. The haplotype of the descendent of Peter Peters (1777-

- 1841) #176734 represents a third subgroup. The haplotypes of the descendents of Aron Peters (ca 1745-1802) #198928, Hermann Peters (b. ca 1744) #149662, and Peter Isaac Peters (1901-1977) #475740 represent a fourth subgroup. The haplotype of the fourth subgroup has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
67. Poetker. There are results back for 2 different unconnected Poetker families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Poetker progenitor. Johann Johann Poetker (b. 15 Oct 1850) #954971 was probably a descendent of Johan Poetker (b. ca 1762) #412754.
 68. Quiring. There are results back for 5 different unconnected Quiring families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Quiring progenitor. This haplotype has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
 69. Rahn. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Rahn families. Two of the people tested are descendents of Jacob Rahn (1750-1820) #132712. A descendent of Isebrandt Rahn (1683-1749) #951242 has also been tested. The haplotype of the descendent of Isebrandt Rahn #951242 is inconsistent with the haplotype of descendent of Jacob Rahn #132712. This suggests that either there were two original Rahn progenitors, one for each lineage, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in one of the two lineages.
 70. Ratzlaff. There are at least partial results back for 3 different unconnected Ratzlaff families. The haplotypes are for two descendents of Bernhard Ratzlaff (ca 1834-1881) #479270 and the haplotype for a descendent of Peter Ratzlaff (1798-1854) #31787 are consistent with each other. The haplotype of a grandson of Heinrich Ratzlaff (b. 1861) #896571 who has been tested and who is a descendent of Hans Ratzlaff (b. ca 1590) #36101 through Hans Ratzlaff's grandson Berent Ratzlaff (1660-ca 1717) #32092 is consistent with the haplotype of the two descendents of Bernhard Ratzlaff #479270 and the haplotype for a descendent of Peter Ratzlaff (1798-1854) #31787. However, the haplotype of a different descendent of Hans Ratzlaff (b. ca 1590) #36101 through Berent Ratzlaff's #32092 brother Hans Ratzlaff (b. 1 Jan 1661) #36105 is inconsistent with the haplotype of the two descendents of Bernhard Ratzlaff #479270. This suggests that a NPE has occurred somewhere in the lineage of the descendent of Hans Ratzlaff (b. 1661) #36105 who was tested and his purported ancestor. Additional testing of male Ratzlaffs who descend from Hans Ratzlaff (b. 1 Jan 1661) #36105 on different lines of descent is needed to help sort where the NPE has occurred in one of the Ratzlaff lineages.
 71. Redekopp. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Redekopp families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Redekopp progenitor.
 72. Regier. There are at least partial results back for 8 different unconnected Regier families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Regier progenitor.
 73. Reimer. There are at least partial results back for 8 different unconnected Reimer families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception that the haplotype of the descendent of Johann Reimer (ca 1770-1819) #46407 is inconsistent with the haplotypes of the other 7 Reimer lineages that have been tested. This suggests

- that either there were two original Reimer progenitors, one for the lineage of Johann Reimer (ca 1770-1819) #46407 and one for the other Reimer lineages, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in Johann Reimer's (ca 1770-1819) #46407 lineage.
74. Rempel. There are at least partial results back for 7 different unconnected Rempel families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Rempel progenitor. The descendants of Bernhard Rempel (1763-1806) #101361, Peter Rempel (b. 6 Jul 1735) #198954, and Jacob Gerhard Rempel (b. 10 May 1862) #341796 who have been tested all have a value of 13 at DYS 442 whereas the other Rempels who have been tested have a value of 14 at DYS 442. This would suggest that Bernhard Rempel (1763-1806) #101361, Peter Rempel (b. 6 Jul 1735) #198954, and Jacob Gerhard Rempel (b. 10 May 1862) #341796 were more closely related to each other than to the other Rempels who have been tested. It should be noted that the marker value for DYS 448 for the descendent of Bernhard Rempel (1763-1806) #101361 who was tested may not actually be 20 as is shown on the table of the results. This person was tested by Family Tree DNA, a company which doesn't report microvariants (short tandem repeat values that contain a partial repeat) for the markers it tests. The corresponding value for DYS 448 for 6 Rempels who were tested by the SMGF is 19.2, which is probably the true result for this marker for the descendent of Bernhard Rempel #101361 as well.
 75. Riediger. There are results back for 2 different unconnected Riediger families. The haplotypes are potentially consistent with each other but have mismatches at 4 markers, suggesting that these families likely descend from the same Riediger progenitor, but there is at least some uncertainty about this and they may share a common progenitor before the time that surnames came into existence (ca 1500).
 76. Rogalsky. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Rogalsky families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of a mutation which occurred at one marker, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Rogalsky progenitor.
 77. Rosenfeld. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Rosenfeld families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of a mutation which occurred at one marker, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Rosenfeld progenitor.
 78. Sawatzky. There are at least partial results back for 3 different unconnected Sawatzky families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Sawatzky progenitor.
 79. Schellenberg. There are results back for 2 different unconnected Schellenberg families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Schellenberg progenitor.
 80. Schmidt. There are at least partial results back for 4 different unconnected Schmidt families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of the haplotype of the descendent of Martin Schmidt (b. 9 Apr 1766) #1059540, which is inconsistent with the haplotype of the other 3 Schmidt lineages that have been tested. This suggests that either there were two original Schmidt progenitors, one for Martin

Schmidt (b. 9 Apr 1766) #1059540 and one for the other Schmidt lineages, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in Martin Schmidt (b. 9 Apr 1766) #1059540 lineage.

81. Schroeder. There are results for 8 different unconnected Schroeder families. There are three different subgroups for this surname based on the results currently available. The haplotypes of the descendents of Wilhelm Schroeder (1761-1829) #275130 and Simon Schroeder (1751-1808) #788737 are consistent with each other and form the first subgroup. The haplotypes of two descendents of Isaac Schroeder (1738-1789) #222095 represent a second subgroup, but are inconsistent with the haplotype of another descendent of Isaac Schroeder (1738-1789) #222095. The haplotypes of descendents of Isaac Schroeder's son Johann Schroeder (b. 1763) #196630 don't match the haplotype of the descendent of Isaac Schroeder's son Heinrich Schroeder (b. 1769) #14829. This suggests that an NPE occurred somewhere in the lineage of Johann Schroeder (b. 1763) #196630. The haplotypes of one of the descendents of Isaac Schroeder (1738-1789) #222095 through Isaac's son Heinrich Schroeder (b. 1769) #14829 and the descendents of Peter Schroeder (1718-1802) #694669, David Schroeder (b. ca. 1718) #1124609, Peter Schroeder (b. ca 1740) #351305, David Schroeder (b. ca. 1775) #44460, and David Schroeder (1796-1855) #1018188 are consistent with each other and form the third subgroup. The haplotypes of the descendents of Isaac Schroeder (1738-1789) #222095, Peter Schroeder (1718-1802) #694669 and David Schroeder (b. ca. 1718) #1124609 each have a value of 11 for DYS 439 whereas the other Schroeders in that subgroup have a value of 12 for DYS 439. This would suggest that Isaac Schroeder (1738-1789) #222095, Peter Schroeder (1718-1802) #694669 and David Schroeder (b. ca. 1718) #1124609 were closely related. The haplotype of the first subgroup has been linked to Scandinavia and the haplotype of the third subgroup has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
82. Siemens. There are at least partial results back for 5 different unconnected Siemens families. There are 2 different subgroups for this surname based on the results currently available. The haplotypes of the descendents of Peter Siemens (b. ca 1790) #58879, Jacob Siemens (b. 18 May 1764) #2169, and Jakob Siemens (d. 1944) #1007967 are consistent with each other and form the first subgroup. The haplotypes of the descendents of Peter Siemens (b. ca 1845) #951210 are consistent with the haplotypes of the descendents of Jacob Siemens (b. bef. 1740, d. ca 1786) #159479 and form a second subgroup. This suggests that either there were two original Siemens progenitors, one for each subgroup, or that there was a NPE that occurred early on in one of the Siemens lineages.
83. Suderman. There are at least partial results back for 3 different unconnected Suderman families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that either all 3 of these families descend from the same Suderman progenitor or that Daniel Suderman (ca 1756-1818) #266837 and/or Abraham Sudermann (1740-1800) #12776 was a descendent of Abraham Suderman (ca 1680-1715) #16088. Of interest is the fact that the Suderman haplotype is a fairly close match to the first subgroup of Janzens, suggesting that they share a common progenitor before the time that surnames came into existence (ca 1500), probably in the Low Countries.

84. Thiessen. There are at least partial results back for 8 different unconnected Thiessen families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception that the haplotype of the descendent of Johann Aron Thiessen (b. 31 May 1869) #342798 is inconsistent with the haplotypes of the other 7 Thiessen lineages that have been tested. This suggests that either there were two original Thiessen progenitors, one for the lineage of Johann Aron Thiessen (b. 31 May 1869) #342798 and one for the other Thiessen lineages, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in Johann Aron Thiessen's (b. 31 May 1869) #342798 lineage. It is possible that Francis Thijssen #341198 was the progenitor of all of the Mennonite Thiessens except for the lineage of Johann Aron Thiessen (b. 31 May 1869) #342798. The haplotype of the first subgroup has been linked to the Anglo-Saxons and the haplotype of the descendent of Johann Aron Thiessen (b. 31 May 1869) #342798 has been linked to Eastern Europe by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
85. Tiahr. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Tiahr families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that both of these families descend from the same Tiahr progenitor.
86. Toews. There are at least partial results back for 4 different unconnected Toews families. The haplotype of a descendent of Martin Toews (1724-1775) #132706 through his son Johann Toews (1743-1811) #225388 is consistent with the haplotypes of the three descendents of Julius Toews (1741-1780) #187161 who have been tested and a descendent of Peter Toews (b. ca 1770) #914897. However, the haplotypes of two descendents of Gerhard Toews (b. 15 Oct 1860) #351537 and a descendent of Johann Toews (b. 23 Feb 1876) #132684, all of whom are supposedly descended from Martin Toews (1724-1775) #132706 through his son Isaak Toews (1746-1803) #132700, are inconsistent with the haplotype of the descendent of Martin Toews (1724-1775) #132706 through his son Johann Toews (1743-1811) #225388. This suggests that a NPE has occurred somewhere in the lineage of the Isaak Toews' (1746-1803) #132700 descendents. This NPE must have occurred either with Isaak Toews (1746-1803) #132700, with his son Abraham Toews (1781-1826) #51732, or with Abraham Toews' son Aron Toews (1815-1901) #51736. Additional testing of Toews males who descend from Abraham Toews (1781-1826) #51732 on different lines of descent is needed to help sort out where the NPE has occurred in this Toews lineage.
87. Unger. There are results back for 2 different unconnected Unger families. The haplotypes are potentially consistent with each other but have mismatches at 4 markers, suggesting that these families likely descend from the same Unger progenitor, but there is at least some uncertainty about this and they may share a common progenitor before the time that surnames came into existence (ca 1500).
88. Unrau/Unruh. There are at least partial results back for 5 different unconnected Unrau and Unruh families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Unrau/Unruh progenitor.
89. Vogt. This haplotype has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
90. Voth. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Voth families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which

- have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Voth progenitor. Note that the Voths have a different progenitor than the Vogts.
91. Wall. There are results back for 7 different unconnected Wall families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Wall progenitor. This haplotype has been linked to the Anglo-Saxons by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
 92. Warkentin. There are at least partial results back for 8 different unconnected Warkentin families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other with the exception of mutations which have occurred at some markers, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Warkentin progenitor. This haplotype has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
 93. Wedel. There are at least partial results back for 3 different unconnected Wedel families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Wedel progenitor.
 94. Wiebe. There are results back for 16 different unconnected Wiebe families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families all descend from the same Wiebe progenitor. Some of the families have a marker value of 30 for DYS 449 and most of the rest have a marker value of 31. Those families with the same value for this marker are likely more closely related to each other than those who don't have the same marker value. This haplotype has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.
 95. Wieler. There are results back for 6 different unconnected Wieler families. There are 2 different subgroups for this surname based on the results currently available. The haplotypes of the descendents of Johann Wieler (b. 1771) #127055, Cornelius Wieler (1765-1807) #487640, Johan Heinrich Wieler (1758-1802) #197280, Peter Peter Wieler (1778-1850) #187168, Abraham Wieler (1740-1796) #1319059, and Abraham Wieler (1741-1805) #1009756 are consistent with each other and form the first subgroup. The haplotype of the descendent of Jacob Klaas Wieler (1794-1815) #55032 represents a second subgroup. This suggests that either there were two original Wieler progenitors, one for the lineage of Jacob Klaas Wieler (1794-1815) #55032 and one for the other Wieler lineages, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in Jacob Klaas Wieler's (1794-1815) #55032 lineage. The Wieliers in the first subgroup have a marker value of 23 at YCA IIb where as the descendent of Abraham Wieler #1009756 has a value of 19 at that marker. This would suggest that there must have been a deletion of a relatively large portion of the short tandem repeat at this marker location, likely as the result of a recombinational loss of heterozygosity (RecLOH). Note that the haplotype of the descendent of Jacob Klaas Wieler (1794-1815) #55032 is a close match to the haplotype of the Warkentins who have been tested.
 96. Wiens. There are at least partial results back for 8 different unconnected Wiens families. There are 2 different subgroups for this surname based on the results currently available. The haplotypes of the descendents of Abram Wiens (b. ca 1735) #198228, Abram Wiens (b. 13 Aug 1896) #990794, Heinrich Wiens (1847-1921) #755624, Abraham Wiens (b. ca 1846) #45656, Johann Wiens (b. ca 1748) #47044, and Peter Wiens (1773-1823) #341095 are consistent with each other and form the first subgroup. The haplotypes of the descendents of Jacob Johann Wiens (b. ca 1762) #47010 and Gerhard Jacob Wiens (16 Nov 1859) #513463 form a second subgroup. This suggests that either there were

two original Wiens progenitors, one for the lineage of Jacob Johann Wiens (b. ca 1762) #47010 and Gerhard Jacob Wiens (16 Nov 1859) #513463 and one for the other Wiens lineages, or that there was a NPE that occurred at some point in the lineage of Jacob Johann Wiens (b. ca 1762) #47010 and Gerhard Jacob Wiens (16 Nov 1859) #513463. Gerhard Jacob Wiens (16 Nov 1859) #513463 was probably a descendent of Jacob Johann Wiens (b. ca 1762) #47010.

97. Willems. This haplotype has been linked to Frisia by DNA researcher Ken Nordtvedt.

98. Zacharias. There are at least partial results back for 2 different unconnected Zacharias families. The haplotypes are consistent with each other, suggesting that these families both descend from the same Zacharias progenitor. Jakob Zacharias (1885-1933) #945779 was likely a descendent of Wilhelm Zacharias (b. ca 1700) #8772.